arXiv:1108.0258v2 [math.RA] 18 Aug 2011

On Monoid Graded Local Rings*

Huishi Li

Department of Applied Mathematics

College of Information Science and Technology

Hainan University

Haikou 570228, China

Abstract. Let Γ be a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e. Consider a Γ-graded ring $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$, which is not necessarily commutative. It is proved that A_e, the degree-e part of A, is a local ring in the classical sense if and only if the graded two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{M}$ of A generated by all non-invertible homogeneous elements is a proper ideal. Defining a Γ-graded local ring A in terms of this equivalence, it is proved that any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module have the same number of generators, and furthermore, that most of the basic homological properties of the local ring A_e hold true for A (at least) in the Γ-graded context.

Key words and phrases: Monoid graded local ring, minimal homogeneous generating set, gr-projective module, minimal gr-free resolution, homological dimension.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let Γ be a *cancelation monoid*, that is, Γ is a semigroup with a neutral element e, not necessarily commutative, and Γ satisfies the (left and right) cancelation law: $\gamma\gamma_1 = \gamma\gamma_2$ implies $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$, and $\gamma_1\gamma = \gamma_2\gamma$ implies $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ for all $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$. This paper studies a Γ-graded ring $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ with the degree-e part A_e a (commutative or noncommutative) local ring in the classical sense. As one may see from the literature, such graded

---

*Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971044).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W50.

rings may have been widely occurring in both commutative and noncommutative algebra, commutative and noncommutative algebraic geometry as well as representation theory, but except for those well-known classical special cases (see the examples we give after Definition 2.6 in Section 2), they seemed to have not yet been explored systematically. The cut-in point of our work is to prove that A_e is a local ring in the classical sense if and only if the graded (two-sided) ideal $\mathfrak{M}$ of A generated by all non-invertible homogeneous elements is a proper ideal. So it is natural to call such graded ring A a Γ-*graded local ring* and ask what properties of the (classical) local ring A_e may hold true for A (at least) at the graded level. Exploring this question in some detail, although A is not necessarily commutative and $\mathfrak{M}$ is generally not a maximal ideal of the ungraded ring A in the usual sense, and therefore the quotient ring $A/\mathfrak{M}$ is generally not a division ring (or a field) and the localization techniques as well as the methods dealing with vector spaces no longer work, we then show that any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module have the same number of generators, and furthermore, that most of the basic homological properties of the local ring A_e hold true for A (at least) in the Γ-graded context. Our approach is carried out through the contents which are arranged as follows.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries
2. The Γ-Graded Local Ring A
3. Minimal Homogeneous Generating Sets of Graded A-Modules
4. Finitely Generated gr-Projective A-Modules are Free
5. The Existence of gr-Projective Covers and Minimal gr-Free Resolutions over A
6. Determining Homological Dimensions via $A/\mathfrak{M}$

All rings considered in this paper are associative rings with multiplicative identity 1, not necessarily commutative; and all modules over a ring are unitary left modules. By a *local ring in the classical sense* we mean a ring R which has a unique maximal (left, right and two-sided) ideal $\mathbf{m}$. It is well known that if R is a local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathbf{m}$, then $r \in R - \mathbf{m}$ if and only if r is invertible. Commutative local rings may be seen almost everywhere in each book on commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. For some interesting noncommutative local rings we refer to ([Lam], Ch.7, §19).

Throughout this paper, Γ denotes a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e, as we fixed in the beginning. By a Γ-graded ring A we mean an associative ring with the multiplicative identity 1, which has a direct sum decomposition $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$, where each A_γ is an additive subgroup of A (in the case that A is a K-algebra over a field K, we require that A_γ is a K-subspace of A), such that $A_{\gamma_1}A_{\gamma_2} \subseteq A_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we call A_γ the *degree-*γ *part* of A. If $0 \neq a \in A_\gamma$, then we call a a *homogeneous* *element of degree* γ and write $d(a)=\gamma$. For the basics about graded rings and graded modules we used in this paper, one may refer to [NVO] though it mainly deals with group G-graded rings.

Let $A=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded ring as above. By the definition it is clear that the degree-e part A_e is a subring of A, and by using the cancelation law on Γ, it is easy to check that $1\in A_e$ (to emphasize its importance, this property is specified as Lemma 2.1(i) in the next section). Let I be a (left, right, two-sided) ideal of A. If I is generated by homogeneous elements, then we say that I is a *graded* (*left, right, two-sided*) *ideal* of A. By using the cancelation law on Γ, it is straightforward to check that I is a graded (left, right, two-sided) ideal of A if and only if $I=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}I_\gamma$ with $I_\gamma=I\cap A_\gamma$ if and only if $a=\sum_i a_{\gamma_i}\in I$ with $a_{\gamma_i}\in A_{\gamma_i}$ implies $a_{\gamma_i}\in I$ for all i if and only if $A/I=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(A_\gamma+I)/I$. If each graded left ideal of A is finitely generated, then A is called a *left gr-Noetherian ring*. Similarly, a right gr-Noetherian ring is defined. If A is both a left and a right gr-Noetherian ring then it is called a gr-Noetehrian ring.

Let $A=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded ring. By a Γ-graded A-module M we mean a left A-module which has a direct sum decomposition $M=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}M_\gamma$, where each M_γ is an additive subgroup of M (in the case that A is a K-algebra over a field K, we require that M_γ is a K-subspace of M), such that $A_{\gamma_1}M_{\gamma_2}\subseteq M_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ for all $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Gamma$. For each $\gamma\in\Gamma$, we call M_γ the *degree-*γ *part* of M. If $0\neq\xi\in M_\gamma$, then we call ξ a *homogeneous element of degree* γ and write $d(\xi)=\gamma$. Let H be a submodule of M. If H is generated by homogeneous elements, then we say that H is a *graded submodule* of M. By using the cancelation law on Γ, it is straightforward to check that H is a graded submodule of M if and only if $H=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}H_\gamma$ with $H_\gamma=H\cap M_\gamma$ if and only if $\xi=\sum_i\xi_{\gamma_i}\in H$ with $\xi_{\gamma_i}\in M_{\gamma_i}$ implies $\xi_{\gamma_i}\in H$ for all i if and only if $M/H=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(M_\gamma+H)/H$. If each graded submodule of M is finitely generated, then M is called a *left gr-Noetherian module*.

Let $M=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}M_\gamma$ and $N=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}N_\gamma$ be Γ-graded A-modules. If φ: $M\rightarrow N$ is an A-module homomorphism such that $\varphi(M_\gamma)\subseteq N_\gamma$ for all $\gamma\in\Gamma$, then we call φ a *graded A-homomorphism*. If $M\xrightarrow{\varphi}N$ is a graded A-homomorphism, then it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Ker}\varphi$ and $\mathrm{Im}\varphi$ are graded submodules of M and N respectively.

Note that every Γ-graded A-module M has a generating set consisting of homogeneous elements, which is usually called a *homogeneous generating set*. The lemma given below tells us that the cancelation law we assumed on Γ guarantees the *very basic graded structure* of Γ-graded modules, of which the verification is straightforward.

1.1. Lemma Let $A=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded ring and $M=\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}M_\gamma$ a Γ-graded A-module. If $\Omega=\{\xi_i\}_{i\in J}$ is a homogeneous generating set of M, in which $d(\xi_i)=\gamma_i$ (not necessarily distinct), $i \in J$, then

$$M_\gamma = \sum_{i\in J,\ \gamma_i'\gamma_i=\gamma} A_{\gamma_i'}\xi_i, \qquad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

□

A Γ-graded A-module $F = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}F_\gamma$ is called *gr-free* if F is a free A-module (in the usual sense) but with a *homogeneous free A-basis*, namely F has a free A-basis $\Omega = \{e_i\}_{i\in J}$ consisting of homogeneous elements. By Lemma 1.1, if $e_i \in F_{\gamma_i}$ for some $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, $i \in J$, then

$$F = \oplus_{i\in J}Ae_i, \quad F_\gamma = \sum_{i\in J,\ \gamma_i'\gamma_i=\gamma} A_{\gamma_i'}e_i, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Indeed, given any free A-module $F = \oplus_{i\in J}Ae_i$ and an arbitrarily chosen subset $\Gamma_1 = \{\gamma_i\}_{i\in J}$ of (not necessarily distinct) elements of Γ, a gr-free A-module may be constructed as follows. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, if there are $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_1$, $\gamma_i' \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_i'\gamma_i$, then put $F_\gamma = \sum_{i\in J,\ \gamma_i'\gamma_i=\gamma} A_{\gamma_i'}e_i$, otherwise put $F_\gamma = \{0\}$. Noticing that Γ is a monoid with the neutral element e and that Γ satisfies the cancelation law, one may directly check that $F = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}F_\gamma$ is the desired gr-free A-module which has the homogeneous free A-basis $\Omega = \{e_i\}_{i\in J}$ with $e_i \in F_{\gamma_i}$. Thus, if $M = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}M_\gamma$ is any nonzero Γ-graded A-module, then, taking a homogeneous generating set $\{\xi_i \mid d(\xi_i) = \gamma_i\}_{i\in J}$ of M, the subset $\Gamma_1 = \{\gamma_i\}_{i\in J} \subseteq \Gamma$ and the gr-free A-module $F = \oplus_{i\in J}Ae_i$ as constructed above by assigning each e_i the degree γ_i , the map φ: $F \to M$ defined by $\varphi(e_i) = \xi_i$ is a graded A-epimorphism. Hence, every nonzero Γ-garded A-module is a graded homomorphic image of some gr-free A-module.

Finally, before introducing the notion of a gr-projective A-module, we also need a fundamental result concerning projective objects in the Γ-graded context, which is an analogue of ([NVO], Corollary I.2.2 with $\Gamma = G$ a group; [MR], Proposition 7.6.6 with $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$ the additive monoid of all nonnegative integers). As we are working with an arbitrary monoid Γ satisfying the cancelation law, to see why the classical result holds true as well in our case, a proof is included here.

1.2. Proposition Let $P = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}P_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded A-module. The following statements are equivalent.

(i) P is a gr-direct summand of a gr-free A-module, i.e., $F = P \oplus Q$ in which $F = \oplus_{i\in J}Ae_i = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}F_\gamma$ is a gr-free A-module and $Q = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}Q_\gamma$ is a graded submodule of F, satisfying $F_\gamma = P_\gamma + Q_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

(ii) Given any graded A-epimorphism φ: $M \to N$ of Γ-garded A-modules, if ψ: $P \to N$ is a graded A-homomorphism, then there is a unique graded A-homomorphism $\overline{\varphi}$: $P \to M$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & P \arrow[dl, "\overline{\varphi}"'] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & \\ M \arrow[r, "\varphi"'] & N \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

(iii) As an ungraded module, P is a projective A-module.

Proof By virtue of graded A-homomorphisms, the proof of (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) is similar to the ungraded case. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious because, a gr-free A-module is certainly free as an ungraded module.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $\{\xi_i \mid i \in J\}$ be a homogeneous generating set of P, and φ: $F \to P$ the graded A-epimorphism defined by $\varphi(e_i) = \xi_i$, where $F = \oplus_{i\in J} Ae_i = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} F_\gamma$ is the gr-free A-module with homogeneous free A-basis $\{e_i \mid d(e_i) = d(\xi_i),\ i \in J\}$. Since P is projective as an ungraded A-module, φ splits, i.e., there is an A-homomorphism β: $P \to F$ such that $\varphi\beta = 1_P$. Note that the splitting homomorphism β need not be a graded A-homomorphism. But if we define $\overline{\varphi}$: $P \to F$ with $\overline{\varphi}(x_\gamma) = f_\gamma$, where $x_\gamma \in P_\gamma$, $\beta(x_\gamma) = f_\gamma + \sum_j f_{\gamma_j}$ with $f_\gamma \in F_\gamma$, $f_{\gamma_j} \in F_{\gamma_j}$ and $\gamma \neq \gamma_j$, then, with the aid of cancelation law on Γ, a direct verification shows that $\overline{\varphi}$ is a graded A-homomorphism, in particular, $\overline{\varphi}(a_{\gamma'}x_\gamma) = a_{\gamma'}\overline{\varphi}(x_\gamma)$ for all $a_{\gamma'} \in A_{\gamma'}$ and $x_\gamma \in P_\gamma$, $\gamma', \gamma \in \Gamma$ (this is the *key* point), such that $\varphi\overline{\varphi} = 1_P$. Thus, the graded A-homomorphism $\overline{\varphi}$ splits φ in degrees and therefore, (i) is proved. $\square$

A Γ-graded A-module $P = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} P_\gamma$ is called a *gr-projective module* if it satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 1.2.

2. The Γ-Graded Local Ring A

Let Γ be a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e, and $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ a Γ-graded ring. In this section we introduce the notion of a Γ-graded local ring by showing that the degree-e part A_e of A is a local ring in the classical sense if and only if the graded two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{M}$ of A generated by all non-invertible homogeneous elements is a proper ideal.

We start with some preliminaries. First note that A_e is a subring of A. Let $a \in A_\gamma$ be a homogeneous element of degree γ. We say that a is *left* (*right*) *gr-invertible* if there is a homogeneous element b of A such that $ba = 1$ ($ab = 1$). If a is both left and right gr-invertible, we say that a is *gr-invertible*. The properties (i) and (ii) mentioned in the first lemma below may be directly checked by using the cancelation law assumed on Γ.

2.1. Lemma (i) The (multiplicative) identity 1 of A is a homogeneous element of degree e, i.e., $1 \in A_e$.
(ii) If a homogeneous element $a \in A_\gamma$ is left (right) invertible in A, then it is left (right) gr-invertible.

$\square$

From now on in our discussion we shall freely use the property Lemma 2.1(i) without additional indication.

Now, suppose that the degree-e part A_e of A is a local ring in the classical sense. Writing $\mathbf{m}$ for the unique maximal ideal of A_e, as usual we use $(A_e, \mathbf{m})$ to indicate that A_e is a local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathbf{m}$.

2.2. Lemma Let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ and $(A_e, \mathbf{m})$ be as fixed above. If a homogeneous element $a_\gamma \in A_\gamma$ is left invertible, then it is also right invertible and hence invertible. Similarly, if a homogeneous element $a_\gamma \in A_\gamma$ is right invertible, then it is also left invertible and hence invertible.

Proof Suppose that the homogeneous element $a_\gamma \in A_\gamma$ is left invertible. Then, it is left gr-invertible by Lemma 2.1, i.e., there is a homogeneous element, say $b_{\gamma_1} \in A_{\gamma_1}$, such that $b_{\gamma_1} a_\gamma = 1$. Let $a_\gamma b_{\gamma_1} = c$. Then c is a homogeneous element and

$$a_\gamma = a_\gamma(b_{\gamma_1} a_\gamma) = c a_\gamma. \tag{1}$$

Since Γ satisfies the right cancelation law, it follows from the equality (1) that $c \in A_e$. Note that from (1) we also have $(1-c)a_\gamma = 0$. Noticing $a_\gamma \neq 0$, we conclude that $c \notin \mathbf{m}$. Thus, c is invertible in the local ring A_e and hence $a_\gamma(b_{\gamma_1} c^{-1}) = 1$, showing that a_γ is also right invertible.

By using the left cancelation law on Γ, the second assertion is proved in the same way.

$\square$

2.3. Proposition Let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ and $(A_e, \mathbf{m})$ be as in Lemma 2.2. The following statements hold.
(i) Let $\{L_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the set of all proper graded left ideals of A and $\mathfrak{L} = \sum_{i\in I} L_i$. Then $\mathfrak{L}$ is a proper graded left ideal of A.
(ii) Let $\{R_j \mid j \in J\}$ be the set of all proper graded right ideals of A and $\mathfrak{R} = \sum_{j\in J} R_j$. Then $\mathfrak{R}$ is a proper graded right ideal of A.

(iii) Let $\{T_k \mid k \in K\}$ be the set of all proper graded two-sided ideals of A and $\mathfrak{M} = \sum_{k\in K} T_k$. Then $\mathfrak{M}$ is a proper graded two-sided ideal of A.
(iv) $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{R}$.
(v) Each homogeneous element $a \in A_\gamma - \mathfrak{M}$ is gr-invertible, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, namely there is a homogeneous element b of A such that $ab = ba = 1$. Hence, $\mathfrak{M}$ is, as a graded ideal of A, generated by all non-invertible homogeneous elements of A.
(vi) $\mathfrak{M} \cap A_e = \mathbf{m}$.

Proof (i) – (iii) Suppose the contrary that $1 \in \mathfrak{L}$. Then $1 = \sum_{t=1}^{m} a_{i_t}$ with $a_{i_t} \in (L_{i_t})_e = L_{i_t} \cap A_e$, and there is at least one a_{i_t} which is not contained in the maximal ideal $\mathbf{m}$ of A_e. But then a_{i_t} is invertible in A_e and thus L_{i_t} would not be a proper graded left ideal, a contradiction. Hence, $\mathfrak{L}$ is a proper graded left ideal of A. In a similar way, we can prove that $\mathfrak{R}$ is a proper graded right ideal of A, and that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a proper graded two-sided ideal of A.
(iv) We need only to show that $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{R}$ because, by (i) – (iii), it is then clear that $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{R}$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{L}_\gamma$ be a nonzero homogeneous element of degree γ in $\mathfrak{L}$. We claim that the graded right ideal aA is a proper right ideal of A and hence $a \in aA \subset \mathfrak{R}$. Otherwise, $1 \in aA$ would mean that a is right invertible and then, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that a is also left invertible, implying that $\mathfrak{L}$ would not be a proper left ideal. This contradiction confirms our claim. Since $a \in aA \subset \mathfrak{R}$ holds for each homogeneous element a of $\mathfrak{L}$, we have $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$. Similarly, by using Lemma 2.2 we can prove $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{R}$, as desired.
(v) If $a \in A_\gamma - \mathfrak{M}$, then it follows from (iv) that $Aa = A = aA$. Hence a is gr-invertible by Lemma 2.1.
(vi) Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a proper graded two-sided ideal of A by (iii), each $a \in \mathfrak{M} \cap A_e$ is not invertible. So, $a \in \mathbf{m}$. This shows that $\mathfrak{M} \cap A_e \subseteq \mathbf{m}$. Conversely, for each $0 \neq b \in \mathbf{m}$, we claim that Ab is a proper graded left ideal of A and hence $b \in Ab \subset \mathfrak{M}$ by (iv). Otherwise, $1 \in Ab$ would mean that b is invertible in A_e, contradicting $b \in \mathbf{m}$. Thus, our claim is true and hence $\mathbf{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \cap A_e$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{M} \cap A_e = \mathbf{m}$. □

Conversely, we have the next proposition, of which the verification is straightforward and so is omitted.

2.4. Proposition Let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded ring, and let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the graded two-sided ideal of A generated by all non-invertible homogeneous elements. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a proper ideal of A, then the following statements hold.
(i) Each homogeneous element $a \in A_\gamma - \mathfrak{M}$ is gr-invertible, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence, $\mathfrak{M}$ is the unique maximal graded (left, right and two-sided) ideal of A.

(ii) A_e is a local ring in the classical sense, which has the unique maximal (left, right and two-sided) ideal $\mathbf{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap A_e$.
(iii) The quotient ring $D = A/\mathfrak{M}$ is a Γ-graded division ring, that is, each nonzero homogeneous element of D is gr-invertible. In particular, $D_e = A_e/\mathbf{m}$ is a division ring. $\square$

Combining Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4, we are able to mention the main result of this section.

2.5. Theorem Let Γ be a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e, and let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded ring. Then A_e, the degree-e part of A, is a local ring in the classical sense if and only if the graded two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{M}$ of A generated by all non-invertible homogeneous elements is a proper ideal. $\square$

Naturally, Theorem 2.5 leads to the following

2.6. Definition Let Γ be a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e, and let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded ring. If A_e, the degree-e part of A, is a local ring in the classical sense, then we call A a Γ-*graded local ring*.

By Definition 2.6, the class of Γ-graded local rings includes a lot of well-known algebras in both the commutative and noncommutative contexts. For instance, any (commutative or noncommutative) connected $\mathbb{N}$-graded K-algebra $A = \oplus_{p\ge 0}A_p$ with $A_0 = K$ a field; any (commutative or noncommutative) $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring $A = \oplus_{p\ge 0}A_p$ with A_0 a local ring (in the commutative case such A is called a *generalized local ring* in the sense of [GW], see also [Eis] P.510), as to which, some results concerning the global homological dimension were obtained in [Li1]; and any commutative $\mathbb{Z}$-graded (or $\mathbb{N}$-graded) *local ring in the sense of [BH].

More generally, let Γ be a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e, and $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ a Γ-graded ring such that A_e is a commutative ring contained in the center of A. If p is a prime ideal of A_e, then, with respect to the multiplicative subset $S_p = A_e - p$, the classical central localization $S_p^{-1}A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}S_p^{-1}A_\gamma$ of A at p is a Γ-graded local ring in which the degree-e part $(S_p^{-1}A)_e = S_p^{-1}A_e$ is a local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathbf{m} = S_p^{-1}p$; if furthermore A_e is a commutative domain and $S = A_e - \{0\}$, then $(S^{-1}A)_e = S^{-1}A_e$ is a field.

Convention In light of Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4, from now on in this paper we shall also alternatively use the equivalent of Definition 2.6, that is, we say that the

Γ-graded ring $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ is a Γ-graded local ring if the graded two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{M}$ of A generated by all non-invertible homogeneous elements is the unique maximal graded (left, right and two-sided) ideal of A, and we just simply say that $\mathfrak{M}$ is the maximal graded ideal of A. Moreover, the property $\mathbf{m} = \mathfrak{M}\cap A_e$ will be freely used without extra indication, where $\mathbf{m}$ is the unique maximal (left, right and two-sided) ideal of A_e.

Remark Let $= \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ be a Γ-garded local ring with the maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{M}$. Then $D = A/\mathfrak{M}$ is a Γ-graded division ring by Proposition 2.4. If $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ is the additive group of all integers, then it follows from ([NVO], Corollary I.4.3) that either $D = D_0$ in case the gradation is trivial, or else $D \cong D_0[x, x^{-1}, \varphi]$, where φ is a ring automorphism of D_0, and x is an indeterminate such that $x\lambda = \varphi(a)\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in D_0$; moreover, D is a left and right principal ideal domain. However, as pointed out in ([NVO], Remark I.4.4), for more general monoid Γ (even if Γ is a group) the graded division ring D may not necessarily have the structure as with $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$. Nevertheless, some basic properties of modules over D will be discussed in Section 4.

3. Minimal Homogeneous Generating Sets of Graded A-Modules

Throughout this section, we let Γ be a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e, and let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded local ring (in the sense of Definition 2.6) with the maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{M}$. Our aim below is to show that any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module M have the same number of generators, and that in certain cases, the number of degree-γ homogeneous elements contained in each minimal homogeneous generating set is the same.

Let M be a Γ-graded A-module with homogeneous generating set $\Omega = \{\xi_i\}_{i\in J}$. If any proper subset of Ω cannot be a generating set of M, then we call Ω a *minimal homogeneous generating set* of M. It is easy to see that any homogeneous free A-basis X of a gr-free A-module F is a minimal homogeneous generating set of F. But for an arbitrary A-module M, if M is not finitely generated, in general we do not know whether M has a (finite or infinite) minimal generating set or not. Nevertheless, for the completeness, let us first make it clear that if an A-module has an infinite minimal generating set, then any two minimal generating sets of A have the same cardinality. The argument on this property is actually the same as dealing with vector spaces over a (skew) field (see e.g. [Lan]). To be convinced, we also present a detailed proof.

We first mention an easy but useful lemma.

3.1. Lemma Let M be an A-module. If M is finitely generated, then any minimal generating set of M is finite.

□

3.2. Theorem Let M be a left A-module with an infinite minimal generating set T. Then any other minimal generating set T' of M has the same cardinality as T.

Proof First note that T' must be infinite by Lemma 3.1. Since T generates M, for each $\eta \in T'$, we have η contained in the submodule generated by a finite subset T_η of T. Put $T^* = \cup_{\eta\in T'}T_\eta$. Then $T' \subset \langle T^*\rangle$ and hence $\langle T'\rangle = M = \langle T^*\rangle$. Thus $T^* = T$ by the minimality of T. Furthermore, as each T_η is finite and T' is infinite, we can find an injective map from T_η into T'. It follows that we can find an injective map from T^* to $T' \times T'$. So, the cardinality $|T|$ of $T = T^*$ is at most the cardinality $|T' \times T'|$ of $T' \times T'$. But since T' is infinite, $|T'| = |T' \times T'|$ holds by Theorem 3.6 from Appendix 2 of [Lan]. Consequently $|T| \le |T'|$. By symmetry we conclude $|T| = |T'|$. □

We now deal with finitely generated Γ-graded A-modules.

3.3. Proposition Let M be a Γ-graded A-module and let $T_1 = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q\}$ and $T_2 = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q\}$ be two finite homogeneous generating sets of M such that $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\} \cap \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\} = \emptyset$. Suppose that T_2 is a minimal homogeneous generating set of M. The following two statements hold.
(i) $n \le m$.
(ii) Let n_i denote the number of homogeneous elements of degree γ_i in T_2. If Γ is a totally ordered monoid with the total ordering $\prec$, such that the neutral element e of Γ is the smallest element of Γ, then T_1 contains at least n_i homogeneous elements of degree γ_i.

Proof (i) Suppose the contrary that $n > m$. Since both T_1, T_2 are homogeneous generating sets of M, the ξ_i's can be generated by T_2, that is, there are homogeneous elements $B_{ij}, D_{ir} \in A$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \xi_i & = & \sum_{r=1}^{n} D_{ir}\eta_r + \sum_{j=1}^{q} B_{ij}\beta_j \\ & & \text{with } d(\xi_i) = d(D_{ir}\eta_r) = d(B_{ij}\beta_j); \end{array} \quad 1 \le i \le m \tag{1}$$

and on the other hand, there are homogeneous elements $H_{1i}, E_{1j} \in A$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \eta_1 & = & \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{1i}\xi_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} E_{1j}\beta_j \\ & & \text{with } d(\eta_1) = d(H_{1i}\xi_i) = d(E_{1j}\beta_j). \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Substituting each ξ_i in (2) by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{1i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} B_{ij}\beta_j + \sum_{r=1}^{n} D_{ir}\eta_r\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} E_{1j}\beta_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{q}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{1i}B_{ij}\beta_j\right) + E_{1j}\beta_j\right) + \sum_{r=2}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{1i}D_{ir}\eta_r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{1i}D_{i1}\eta_1.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Put $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{1i}D_{i1}$. Then $f \neq 0$. Otherwise, (3) would mean that T_2 is not minimal. Since all homogeneous terms on the right-hand side of (3) have the same degree $d(\eta_1)$ by (1) and (2), the right cancelation law we assumed on Γ entails that all homogeneous elements $H_{1i}D_{i1}$ occurring in the representation of f must be contained in A_e. Note that A_e is a local ring in the classical sense, which has the maximal ideal $\mathbf{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap A_e$ (Proposition 2.4). So, $1-v$ is invertible in A_e for all $v \in \mathbf{m}$. It follows once again from the minimality of T_2 that there is at least one i^* such that $H_{1i^*}D_{i^*1} \neq 0$ and $H_{1i^*}D_{i^*1} \in A_e - \mathbf{m}$. Consequently, there is some $c \in A_e - \mathbf{m}$ such that $H_{1i^*}(D_{i^*1}c) = 1$. This shows that H_{1i^*} is right invertible. But by Lemma 2.2, H_{1i^*} is also left invertible and hence invertible. Therefore, rewriting (2) as

$$\eta_1 = H_{1i^*}\xi_{i^*} + \sum_{i \neq i^*}^{m} H_{1i}\xi_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} E_{1j}\beta_j,$$

we have

$$\xi_{i^*} = {H_{1i^*}}^{-1}\left(\eta_1 - \sum_{i \neq i^*}^{m} H_{1i}\xi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{q} E_{1j}\beta_j\right). \tag{4}$$

Since $T = T_1 \cup \{\eta_1\}$ is also a homogeneous generating set for M, the formula (4) turns out that

$$T_3 = T - \{\xi_{i^*}\} = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{i^*-1}, \xi_{i^*+1}, \ldots, \xi_m, \beta_1, ..., \beta_q, \eta_1\}$$

is a homogeneous generating set of M.

With T_1 replaced by T_3, we can repeat the substitution procedure as presented above and so forth, until the remained $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{i^*-1}, \xi_{i^*+1}, \ldots$ and ξ_m are replaced by $\eta_2, \eta_3, \ldots$ and η_m successively. So, totally after m substitutions we reach a homogeneous generating set $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q\}$ for M, which is a proper subset of T_2. This contradicts the minimality of T_2. Therefore $n \leq m$, as desired.

(ii) If Γ is a totally ordered monoid with the total ordering $\prec$, then $\gamma_1 \prec \gamma_2$ implies $\gamma_1\gamma \prec \gamma_2\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma_1 \prec \gamma\gamma_2$ for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma \in \Gamma$. By the totality of $\prec$ it is easy to see that Γ satisfies the (left and right) cancelation law. If furthermore the neutral element e of Γ is the smallest element, then it is clear that the homogeneous element H_{1i^*}, obtained in the proof of (i) such that $H_{1i^*}D_{i^*1} \in A_e - \mathbf{m}$, itself is contained in $A_e - \mathbf{m}$. It follows that the inverse element $H_{1i^*}^{-1}$ of H_{1i^*} is of degree e and hence $d(\xi_{i^*}) = d(\eta_1)$. This proves the desired assertion. □

We are ready to mention the main result of this section.

3.4. Theorem Let A be a Γ-graded local ring as before and let M be a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module.
(i) Any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of M have the same number of generators.
(ii) If Γ is a totally ordered monoid with the total ordering $\prec$, such that the neutral element e of Γ is the smallest element of Γ, then any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of M contain the same number of homogeneous elements of degree γ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. □

We end this section by pointing out that in a similar way as presented in the proof of Proposition 3.3, it may be shown that if A_e is contained in the center of A, then the results of Theorem 3.4 hold true for any finitely generated graded two-sided ideal of A, that is, we can mention the next

3.5. Proposition Let A be a Γ-graded local ring as before and let I be a finitely generated graded two-sided ideal of A. Suppose that A_e is contained in the center of A, then the following two statements hold.
(i) Any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of I have the same number of generators.
(ii) If Γ is a totally ordered monoid with the total ordering $\prec$, such that the neutral element e of Γ is the smallest element of Γ, then any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of I contain the same number of homogeneous elements of degree γ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

4. Finitely Generated gr-Projective A-Modules are Free

Let Γ be a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e, and let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded local ring (in the sense of Definition 2.6) with the maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{M}$. In this section we show that every finitely generated gr-projective A-module is free. Concerning the basics of gr-free A-modules and gr-projective modules, one is referred to Section 1.

Noticing that every Γ-graded division ring is a special Γ-graded local ring, we start by showing that Γ-graded modules over a Γ-graded division ring $D = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}D_\gamma$ behave very like modules over the usual (ungraded) division rings. Since each nonzero homogeneous element of D is gr-invertible, i.e., if $0 \neq s_\gamma \in D_\gamma$ then there is some homogeneous element, say $s_{\gamma_1} \in D_{\gamma_1}$, such that $s_{\gamma_1}s_\gamma = 1 = s_\gamma s_{\gamma_1}$, given a nonzero Γ-graded D-module E, naturally we can talk about the gr-linear independence of homogeneous elements in E. More precisely, let T be a nonempty subset of E consisting of homogeneous elements. For any $q \geq 1$, $\xi_{i_1}, \ldots, \xi_{i_q} \in T$ and homogeneous elements $s_{\gamma_1}, \ldots, s_{\gamma_q} \in D$, if $d(s_{\gamma_1}\xi_{i_1}) = \cdots = d(s_{\gamma_q}\xi_{i_q})$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{q} s_{\gamma_j}\xi_{i_j} = 0$ imply $s_{\gamma_1} = \cdots = s_{\gamma_q} = 0$, then we say that T is a *gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset* of E. If, with respect to the set theoretic inclusion relation, T is maximal among all gr-linearly independent homogeneous subsets in E, then we call T a *maximal gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset*.

By the cancelation law on Γ it is easy to see that the gr-linear independence of homogeneous elements defined above is equivalent to the usual linear independence of homogeneous elements over D, namely for any $q \geq 1$, $\xi_{i_1}, \ldots, \xi_{i_q} \in T$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_q \in D$, if $\sum_{j=1}^{q} f_j\xi_{i_j} = 0$ then $f_1 = \cdots = f_t = 0$.

4.1. Proposition Let $D = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}D_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded division ring, and E a nonzero Γ-graded D-module. The following statements hold.
(i) Each gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset V of E is contained in a maximal gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset of E.
(ii) E is a gr-free D-module (and hence a free D-module). Indeed, each maximal gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset S of E forms a homogeneous free D-basis for E; and moreover, S also plays the role as a minimal homogeneous generating set of E.
(iii) Each minimal homogeneous generating set S of E is a homogeneous free D-basis for E, and moreover, S is also a maximal gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset of E.
(iv) Each homogeneous free D-basis of E is a minimal homogeneous generating set of E. Any two homogeneous free D-bases of E have the same cardinality.

Proof (i) Let V be a gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset of E and Σ the set of all gr-linearly independent homogeneous subsets containing V in E. Since $E \neq \{0\}$ and each nonzero homogeneous element in D is gr-invertible, we have $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Partially order Σ by set theoretic inclusion. Then, actually as for establishing the existence of a basis for vector spaces over a division ring, we can use Zorn's lemma to find a maximal element S in Σ which is clearly a maximal gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset of E.
(ii) It is straightforward to check that a maximal gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset S is a homogeneous free D-basis of E, and that S is also a minimal homogeneous generating set of E.

(iii) The existence of a minimal homogeneous generating set for E is given by (i) and (ii). Let S be any minimal homogeneous generating set of E. Then it is straightforward to verify that S is a gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset of E and hence a homogeneous free D-basis for E. To see S is a maximal gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset, let S_1 be a gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset such that $S \subseteq S_1$. But by (i) and (ii), there is a maximal gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset S_2, which is also a minimal homogeneous generating set of E, such that $S \subseteq S_1 \subseteq S_2$. It follows that $S = S_1 = S_2$, showing that S is maximal.

(iv) That a homogeneous free D-basis of E is a minimal homogeneous generating set of E is clear. Since a Γ-graded division ring is certainly a Γ-graded local ring in the sense of Definition 2.6, from the discussion of Section 3 we know that any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of E have the same cardinality. $\square$

Let $D = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} D_\gamma$ and E be as in Proposition 4.1. Then we can define the *gr-rank* of E as the cardinality of a minimal homogeneous generating set of E.

Now, let us return to a general Γ-graded local ring $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ with the maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{M}$. Then, the degree-e part A_e of A, where e is the neutral element of Γ, is a local ring (in the classical sense) with the maximal ideal $\mathbf{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap A_e$. Moreover, we note that $\mathfrak{M}$ is the graded Jacobson radical $J^g(A)$ of A in the usual graded context (c.f. [NVO]), i.e., $J^g(A) = \mathfrak{M}$. So, a monoid graded version of Nakayama's lemma holds true for Γ-graded A-modules. To see how $\mathfrak{M}$ works in the monoid graded case, we include a proof here.

4.2. Lemma Let L be a graded left ideal of A which is contained in $\mathfrak{M}$, and let M be a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module. If $LM = M$, then $M = \{0\}$.

Proof We do induction on the number of generators of M. Let $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i$ be homogeneous generators of M, i.e., $M = \sum_{i=1}^{s} A\xi_i$. If $LM = M$, then by the cancelation law on Γ (or Lemma 1.1), ξ_1 has a representation

$$\xi_1 = c_1\xi_1 + c_2\xi_2 + \cdots + c_s\xi_s,$$

in whcih each c_i is a homogeneous element of $LA \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ such that $d(c_i\xi_i) = d(\xi_1)$ for each $c_i\xi_i \neq 0$. If $c_1 = 0$, then M can be generated by $s-1$ elements. If $c_1 \neq 0$, then by the cancelation law on Γ we have $c_1 \in \mathfrak{M} \cap A_e = \mathbf{m}$. It follows that $\xi_1 = (1-c_1)^{-1}\sum_{i=2}^{s} c_i\xi_i$, showing that M can be generated by $s-1$ elements. Hence the proof is complete by induction. $\square$

4.3. Corollary Let L be a graded left ideal of A which is contained in $\mathfrak{M}$, and let M be a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module, and H a graded submodule of M. If $M = H + LM$, then $M = H$.

$\square$

4.4. Proposition Let M be a nonzero and finitely generated Γ-graded A-module, and $\Omega = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\} \subset M$ a subset of homogeneous elements. Considering the Γ-graded division ring $D = A/\mathfrak{M}$, the following two statements are equivalent.
(i) Ω is a minimal homogeneous generating set of M.
(ii) $\overline{\Omega} = \{\overline{\xi_1}, \dots, \overline{\xi_s}\}$, where each $\overline{\xi_i} = \xi_i + \mathfrak{M}M$ is the residue class of ξ_i in $E = M/\mathfrak{M}M$, is a homogeneous D-basis for the free D-module E.

Proof (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Since $M \neq \{0\}$ and hence $E \neq \{0\}$ by Lemma 4.2, that $\overline{\Omega}$ generates E is clear. It remains to show that $\overline{\Omega}$ is a gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset in E. To this end, by Lemma 1.1 we assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \overline{a_i}\overline{\xi_i} = 0$, in which each $\overline{a_i} = a_i + \mathfrak{M} \in D = A/\mathfrak{M}$ with $a_i \in A - \mathfrak{M}$ a homogeneous element, and all the $\overline{a_i}\overline{\xi_i}$ have the same degree, say γ. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i\xi_i \in \mathfrak{M}M \cap M_\gamma$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i\xi_i = \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i\xi_i$, where, by the cancelation law on Γ, each b_i is a homogeneous element in $\mathfrak{M}$ and all the nonzero $b_i\xi_i$ have the same degree γ. Noticing that A is Γ-graded local and hence each homogeneous $a_i \in A - \mathfrak{M}$ is gr-invertible, we should have $a_i\xi_i \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since $a_1\xi_1 \neq 0$ implies

$$\xi_1 = a_1^{-1}b_1\xi_1 + a_1^{-1}\sum_{i=2}^{s}(b_i - a_i)\xi_i,$$

the minimality of Ω entails $a_1^{-1}b_1\xi_1 \neq 0$. Thus, the homogeneous element ξ_1 and the homogeneous element $a_1^{-1}b_1\xi_1$ have the same degree. It follows from the cancelation law on Γ that $a_1^{-1}b_1 \in A_e \cap \mathfrak{M} = \mathbf{m}$, and consequently, $\xi_1 = (1 - a_1^{-1}b_1)^{-1}a_1^{-1}\sum_{i=2}^{s}(b_i - a_i)\xi_i$, contradicting the minimality of Ω. This shows that we must have $a_1 \in \mathfrak{M}$, i.e., $\overline{a_1} = 0$. Similarly, $\overline{a_i} = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq s$. Therefore $\overline{\Omega}$ is a gr-linearly independent homogeneous subset of E, as desired.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that $\overline{\Omega}$ is a D-basis for the free D-module $E = M/\mathfrak{M}M$. Then $M = \sum_{i=1}^{s} A\xi_i + \mathfrak{M}M$, and it follows from Corollary 4.3 that $M = \sum_{i=1}^{s} A\xi_i$. Furthermore, the minimality of Ω follows from the gr-linear independence of $\overline{\Omega}$ over D.

$\square$

Let $F = \oplus_{i\in J} Ae_i$ be a gr-free A-module with the homogeneous free A-basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in J}$ (see Section 1). Then $\{e_i\}_{i\in J}$ is certainly a minimal homogeneous generating set of the Γ-graded A-module F. So, comparing with the gr-rank of graded D-modules defined after

Proposition 4.1, it follows from the discussion of Section 3 that we may also define the *gr-rank* of F as the cardinality of $\{e_i\}_{i\in J}$.

Remark At this stage, one should be aware of a delicate point, namely we do not know generally whether an infinite minimal homogeneous generating set of a gr-free A-module F is a free A-basis or not. But for a finitely generated gr-free A-module F, this is not a problem (see Theorem 4.6 below).

4.5. Lemma Let M be a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module with homogeneous generating set $\Omega = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s\}$. If $F = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} Ae_i$ is the Γ-graded free A-module of gr-rank s with $d(e_i) = d(\xi_i)$, φ: $F \to M$ is the canonical Γ-graded epimorphism defined by $\varphi(e_i) = \xi_i$, and $K = \mathrm{Ker}\varphi$, then $K \subseteq \mathfrak{M}F$ if and only if Ω is a minimal homogeneous generating set of M.

Proof Suppose that Ω is a minimal homogeneous generating set of M. Note that K is a Γ-graded submodule of F. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_ie_i$ be a homogeneous element of degree γ in K. Then, by the construction of F, all the a_i's are homogeneous elements of A and $d(a_1e_1) = \cdots = d(a_se_s) = \gamma$. If $f \notin \mathfrak{M}F$, then since A is Γ-graded local, one of the coefficients, say a_1, must be invertible. But $\varphi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i\xi_i = 0$, so that $\xi_1 = -a_1^{-1}(\sum_{i=2}^{s} a_i\xi_i)$, contradicting the minimality of Ω.

Conversely, suppose $K \subseteq \mathfrak{M}F$. If $\Omega_1 = \{\xi_{i_1}, \ldots, \xi_{i_t}\} \subsetneq \Omega$ is such that $M = \sum_{j=1}^{t} A\xi_{i_j}$ but some $\xi_q \notin \Omega_1$, then by Lemma 1.1, ξ_q has a representation $\xi_q = \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j\xi_{i_j}$, in which the a_j's are homogeneous elements of A such that $d(a_j\xi_{i_j}) = d(\xi_q)$. Thus, $e_q - \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_je_{i_j} \in \mathrm{Ker}\varphi = K \subseteq \mathfrak{M}F$ and hence $e_q - \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_je_{i_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_ie_i$ with each $b_i \in \mathfrak{M}$ a homogeneous element. Comparing the coefficients of e_i's, it follows that $1 \in \mathfrak{M}$, a contradiction. This shows the minimality of Ω. $\square$

4.6. Theorem Let P be a finitely generated gr-projective A-module (see Proposition 1.2). Then P is a free A-module. Indeed, any minimal homogeneous generating set $\Omega = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s\}$ of P forms a free A-basis of P.

Proof Starting with a minimal homogeneous generating set $\Omega = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s\}$ of P, we have the gr-free A-module $F = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} Ae_i$ of gr-rank s with $d(e_i) = d(\xi_i)$, $1 \le i \le s$, and the canonical Γ-graded epimorphism $F \xrightarrow{\varphi} P$ defined by $\varphi(e_i) = \xi_i$. Put $K = \mathrm{Ker}\varphi$. Since P is a projective A-module, the exact sequence of Γ-graded modules and Γ-graded A-homomorphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} P \longrightarrow 0$$

splits. So, $F = K \oplus P_0$ with $P_0 \overset{\varphi}{\cong} P$. By the minimality of Ω and Lemma 4.5, we have $K \subseteq \mathfrak{M}F$. Thus, $K \subseteq \mathfrak{M}F = \mathfrak{M}K \oplus \mathfrak{M}P_0$ gives rise to $K = \mathfrak{M}K$. As F is finitely generated, it follows from $K \cong F/P_0$ that K is finitely generated. Hence $K = 0$ by Lemma 4.2. This shows that φ is an isomorphism, and consequently, P is a free A-module with Ω a free A-basis. □

4.7. Corollary Suppose that A is left gr-Noetherian and that every finitely generated Γ-graded A-module has finite projective dimension (i.e. A is graded left regular). Then every finitely generated Γ-graded A-module has a finite free resolution, that is, there is an exact sequence of finite length

$$0 \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

in which each F_i is a gr-free A-module of finite gr-rank.

5. The Existence of gr-Projective Covers and Minimal gr-Free Resolutions over A

Let Γ be a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e, and let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded local ring in the sense of Definition 2.6. As before, we write $\mathfrak{M}$ for the maximal graded ideal of A, and write $\mathbf{m}$ for the maximal ideal of the (classical) local ring A_e, where $\mathbf{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap A_e$ by Proposition 2.4. Our aim in this section is to establish, up to a graded isomorphism of chain complex,

(1) the existence of a unique gr-projective cover for every finitely generated Γ-graded A-module, and

(2) the existence of a unique minimal gr-free resolution for every finitely generated Γ-graded A-module, in the case that A is left gr-Noetherian (i.e. each graded left ideal of A is finitely generated).

Let M be a Γ-graded module over the Γ-graded local ring A. As in the ungraded case (e.g. [AF], P.72, P.199), we say that a graded submodule N of M is *gr-superfluous* if, whenever H is a graded submodule of M with $H + N = M$, then $H = M$; and we say that a Γ-graded epimorphism ε: $P \rightarrow M$, where P is a Γ-graded projective A-module, is a *gr-projective cover* of M if $\mathrm{Ker}\varepsilon$ is gr-superfluous.

Before proceeding to discuss the gr-projective cover, let us bear in mind the fact that every gr-projective module is, as an ungraded module, projective in the usual sense (Proposition 1.2).

5.1. Proposition The following two statements hold for a Γ-graded A-module M.

(i) Suppose that M has a gr-projective cover ε: $P \to M$. Then for any Γ-graded epimorphism ψ: $Q \to M$, where Q is a Γ-graded projective A-module, there is a Γ-graded epimorphism φ: $Q \to P$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Q \arrow[dl, "\varphi"'] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & \\
 & P \arrow[r, "\varepsilon"'] \arrow[dl] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 & & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Moreover, Ker$\varphi \subseteq$ Kerψ, and $Q = P_0 \oplus$ Kerφ with $P \cong P_0$.
(ii) If f: $M_1 \to M_2$ is a graded isomorphism of Γ-graded A-modules and if ε_1: $P_1 \to M_1$ and ε_2: $P_2 \to M_2$ are gr-projective covers, then there is a graded isomorphism of Γ-graded short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ker}\varepsilon_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "h"', "\cong"] & P_1 \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_1"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"', "\cong"] & M_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"', "\cong"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ker}\varepsilon_2 \arrow[r] & P_2 \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_2"] & M_2 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, any two gr-projective covers of M are isomorphic.

Proof (i) If ψ: $Q \to M$ is any Γ-graded epimorphism, where Q is a Γ-graded projective A-module, then the projectiveness of P and Q yield two graded homomorphisms φ and ψ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & Q \arrow[dl, shift right, "\varphi"'] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & \\
P \arrow[ur, shift right, "\varphi'"'] \arrow[r, "\varepsilon"'] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative in an obvious way, that is, $\psi\varphi' = \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon\varphi = \psi$. It follows that $\varepsilon(\varphi\varphi') = \varepsilon$. Thus, for any $x \in P$ we have $\varepsilon((\varphi\varphi')(x)) = \varepsilon(x)$, implying $x - \varphi(\varphi'(x)) \in$ Kerε. This turns out that $P = $ Im$\varphi +$ Kerε. Since Kerε is gr-superfluous, $P =$ Imφ and hence φ is epic. Concerning the remained assertions, Ker$\varphi \subseteq$ Kerψ follows from $\varepsilon\varphi = \psi$, and the direct decomposition $Q = P_0 \oplus$ Kerφ may be obtained from the fact that the epimorphism φ splits.
(ii) By (i), there is a graded epimorphism φ: $P_1 \to P_2$ such that $f\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2\varphi$. Since f is an isomorphism, it follows that Ker$\varphi =$ Kerε_1. On the other hand, since φ splits we have $P_1 =$ Im$\psi \oplus$ Ker$\varphi =$ Im$\psi \oplus$ Kerε_1, where ψ: $P_2 \to P_1$ is the graded homomorphism such that $\varphi\psi = 1_{P_2}$. As Kerε_1 is a gr-superfluous submodule of P_1, we have Im$\psi = P_1$.

Thus, ψ is an isomorphism and so is φ. Finally, the desired isomorphism of short exact sequences can be completed in an obvious way. $\square$

Based on Corollary 4.3, Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 5.1(ii), we are able to derive the existence of a unique gr-projective cover for every finitely generated graded A-module.

5.2. Theorem Every finitely generated Γ-graded A-module M has the following two properties.
(i) The graded submodule $\mathfrak{M}M$ of M is gr-superfluous.
(ii) Up to a graded isomorphism, M has a unique gr-projective cover.

$\square$

Let M be a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module. We say that M has a *minimal gr-free resolution* if there is an exact sequence of Γ-graded modules and graded homomorphisms

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

satisfying

(a) each F_n, $n \geq 0$, is a gr-free A-module of finite gr-rank, and

(b) $\mathrm{Im}\varphi_n \subseteq \mathfrak{M}F_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$.

5.3. Theorem Suppose that A is left gr-Noetherian (i.e. each graded left ideal is finitely generated). Then, up to a graded isomorphism, every finitely generated Γ-graded A-module M has a unique minimal gr-free resolution.

Proof Since A is left gr-Noetherian by the assumption, a minimal gr-free resolution of M can be constructed by using Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.6; and the uniqueness of such a resolution is guaranteed by Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 5.2. $\square$

Let Γ be a totally ordered cancelation monoid with the total ordering $\prec$, such that the neutral element e of Γ is the smallest element of Γ. If M is a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module over a Γ-graded local ring A, then by Theorem 3.4(ii), any two minimal homogeneous generating sets of M contain the same number of homogeneous elements of degree γ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus, if furthermore A is left gr-Noetherian and if

$$\mathcal{F}_\bullet \qquad \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

is a minimal gr-free resolution of M in which each $F_i = \oplus_{j=1}^{s_i} Ae_{ij}$ is a gr-free A-module with the homogeneous free A-basis $\{e_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{s_i}$, then, as with a commutative polynomial algebra over a field K or as with a connected $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebra over a field K, we can define, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the Γ*-graded Betti number* of M, denoted $\beta_{i,\gamma}(M)$, as

$$\beta_{i,\gamma}(M) = \#\left\{ e_{it} \in \{e_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{s_i} \;\middle|\; d(e_{ij}) = \gamma \right\};$$

and we can then define the *i-th total* Γ*-graded Betti number* as $\beta_i(M) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \beta_{i,\gamma}(M)$. At this stage, we will not further explore to what extent A can be studied by virtue of the Γ-graded Betti numbers, because such topic has been beyond the scope of this paper.

6. Determining Homological Dimensions via $A/\mathfrak{M}$

Let $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded local ring (in the sense of Definition 2.6) with the graded maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M}$, where Γ is a cancelation monoid with the neutral element e. Based on the results obtained in previous sections, in this section we demonstrate, by means of $A/\mathfrak{M}$, how to determine the projective dimension of a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module M, and how to determine the (graded) left global homological dimension of A.

We first fix some notations. Write D for the Γ-graded division ring $A/\mathfrak{M}$, i.e. $D = A/\mathfrak{M}$. Then $D_e \cong A_e/\mathbf{m}$ is a division ring in the classical sense, where $\mathbf{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap A_e$ is the maximal ideal of the (classical) local ring A_e. For an A-module M, we write $\mathrm{p.dim}_A M$ for the projective dimension of M over A in the usual sense. We also write $\mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A$ for the *graded left global dimension* of A, which is, in view of Proposition 1.2, defined as

$$\mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A = \sup\{\mathrm{p.dim}_A M \mid M \text{ any left } \Gamma\text{-graded } A\text{-module}\}.$$

Indeed, the definition gr.l.gl.dim given above needs only to consider *all finitely generated* (or *all cyclic*) Γ-graded A-modules (see [LVO1], or see [LVO2], where in the proof of Proposition 2.5.3 it is enough to replace gr-free modules over a group G-graded ring by gr-free modules over a monoid Γ-graded ring).

6.1. Lemma Suppose that A is left gr-Noetherian, i.e., each graded left ideal of A is finitely generated. Let N be a nonzero and finitely generated Γ-graded A-module. If $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(D, N) = \{0\}$ then N is a free A-module.

Proof Since $N \neq \{0\}$ and is finitely generated, $E = N/\mathfrak{M}N \neq \{0\}$ by Lemma 4.2. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that E is gr-free over $D = A/\mathfrak{M}$, and if $\Omega = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of N, then $\overline{\Omega} = \{\overline{\xi_1}, \ldots, \overline{\xi_s}\}$ is a homogeneous free D-basis for E, where each $\overline{\xi_i} = \xi_i + \mathfrak{M}N$ is the residue class of ξ_i in E. Let $F = \oplus_{i=1}^s Ae_i$ be the gr-free A-module of gr-rank s with the homogeneous free A-basis $\{e_i \mid d(e_i) =$

$d(\xi_i),\ 1\le i\le s\}$, and consider the short exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow K\longrightarrow F\xrightarrow{\varphi} N\longrightarrow 0$$

in which $\varphi(e_i)=\xi_i$, $1\le i\le s$, and $K=\mathrm{Ker}\varphi$. If $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(D,N)=\{0\}$, then it follows from standard homological algebra (e.g. [Rot], P.188) that the sequence

$$0\longrightarrow D\otimes_A K\longrightarrow D\otimes_A F\xrightarrow{1\otimes\varphi} D\otimes_A N\longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. But by the construction of F and φ we know that $1\otimes\varphi$ is an isomorphism of gr-free D-modules. Hence $D\otimes_A K=\{0\}$. Thus, the canonical isomorphism $K/\mathfrak{M}K\cong D\otimes_A K$ turns out that $K/\mathfrak{M}K=\{0\}$. Note that A is left gr-Noetherian by our assumption. So, K is finitely generated, and by Lemma 4.2, $K=\{0\}$. This shows that φ is an isomorphism, i.e. N is free, as desired. $\square$

6.2. Theorem Suppose that A is left gr-Noetherian. The following statements hold.
(i) Let M be a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module. Then, $\mathrm{p.dim}_AM\le n<\infty$ if and only if $\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^A(D,M)=0$ for some integer $n\ge 0$, where $D=A/\mathfrak{M}$.
(ii) Let M be a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module. Then $\mathrm{p.dim}_AM=$ the length of a minimal gr-free resolution of M over A.
(iii) $\mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A=\mathrm{p.dim}_AD$.
(iv) $\mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A\le\mathrm{inj.dim}_AD$, where $\mathrm{inj.dim}_AD$ stands for the (classical) injective dimension of D over A.

Proof (i) If $\mathrm{p.dim}_AM\le n<\infty$, then clearly $\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^A(D,M)=\{0\}$. Conversely, suppose that $\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^A(D,M)=\{0\}$ for some integer $n\ge 0$. Construct an exact sequence of Γ-graded A-modules and graded A-homomorphisms

$$0\longrightarrow K_n\longrightarrow F_{n-1}\xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}}\cdots\longrightarrow F_0\xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M\longrightarrow 0$$

in which each F_i is a gr-free A-module of finite gr-rank, and $K_n=\mathrm{Ker}\varphi_{n-1}$ is finitely generated. By standard homological algebra (e.g. [Rot], Corollary 6.13), we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(D,K_n)=\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^A(D,M)=\{0\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 6.1 that K_n is free. Hence $\mathrm{p.dim}_AM\le n$.
(ii) Let

$$\mathcal{F}_\bullet\qquad\qquad \cdots\longrightarrow F_n\xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_{n-1}\xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}}\cdots\xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_1\xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0\xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M\longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal gr-free resolution of M as constructed in Section 5. Then since $\mathrm{Im}\varphi_n\subseteq\mathfrak{M}F_{n-1}$ for $n\ge 1$, the derived sequences $D\otimes_A\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}_\bullet,D)$ give rise to

$$\mathrm{Tor}_n^A(D,M)\cong D^{i_n}\cong\mathrm{Ext}_A^n(M,D),\quad \text{gr-rank}F_n=i_n,\ n\ge 1.$$

Furthermore, by the well-known fact that $\mathrm{Tor}_n^A(D,M)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_A^n(M,D)$ are invariant with respect to projective resolution we conclude that $\mathrm{p.dim}_A M =$ the length of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$.

(iii) Since $D = A/\mathfrak{M}$ is a Γ-graded cyclic A-module, we have $\mathrm{p.dim}_A D \le \mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A$. Conversely, if $\mathrm{p.dim}_A D = \infty$, then $\mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A \le \mathrm{p.dim}_A D$. In the case that $\mathrm{p.dim}_A D = n < \infty$, we have $\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^A(D,M) = \{0\}$ for every finitely generated Γ-graded A-module M. It follows from (i) that $\mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A \le n$. Thus, we have reached the equality $\mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A = \mathrm{p.dim}_A D$.

(iv) If $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A D = \infty$, then $\mathrm{gr.l.gl.dim}A \le \mathrm{inj.dim}_A D$. Suppose $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A D = n < \infty$. We first prove the following

Claim 1 If N is a nonzero finitely generated Γ-graded A-module with the property that $\mathfrak{M}N = \{0\}$, then $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A N \le n$.

Let $\Omega = \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}$ be a homogeneous generating set of N. We prove Claim 1 by induction on s. If $s = 1$ and $d(\xi_1) = \gamma^*$, then the familiar A-module epimorphism $A \xrightarrow{\varphi} N = A\xi_1$ defined by $\varphi(a) = a\xi_1$ has the property that $\varphi(A_\gamma) \subseteq N_{\gamma\gamma^*}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By means of the cancelation law on Γ it is easy to check that $\mathrm{Ker}\varphi$ is a graded left ideal of A. If $\mathfrak{M}N = \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\varphi$ and consequently $\mathrm{Ker}\varphi = \mathfrak{M}$ because $\mathfrak{M}$ is also a maximal graded left ideal of A by Section 2. Thereby $N \cong A/\mathfrak{M} = D$, and it follows that $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A N = \mathrm{inj.dim}_A D = n$. If $s > 1$, then by the induction hypothesis we have $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A N_1 \le n$, where $N_1 = \sum_{i=2}^s A\xi_i$. Furthermore, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/N_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

entails that $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A N \le n$. So Claim 1 is proved.

We complete the proof by showing the following

Claim 2 If M is a finitely generated Γ-graded A-module, then $\mathrm{p.dim}_A M \le n$.

Construct an exact sequence of Γ-graded A-modules and graded A-homomorphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

in which each F_i is a gr-free A-module of finite gr-rank, and $K = \mathrm{Ker}\varphi_{n-1}$ is finitely generated, and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\ell} F \xrightarrow{\psi} K \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2}$$

in which F is a gr-free A-module of finite gr-rank, ψ is a graded epimorphism, $L = \mathrm{Ker}\psi$ and ℓ is the inclusion map. If $L = \{0\}$, then K is free and (1) gives rise to $\mathrm{p.dim}_A M \le n$. If $L \ne \{0\}$, then since A is left gr-Noetherian and hence the graded submodule L of F is finitely generated, Lemma 4.2 ensures $N = L/\mathfrak{M}L \ne \{0\}$. Since $\mathfrak{M}N = \{0\}$, we have $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A N \le n$ by Claim 1. So if we consider an injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow Q_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Q_n \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

of N, then standard homological algebra tells us that $\mathrm{Ext}(M, Q_n) = \{0\}$ implies $\mathrm{Ext}(K, N) = \{0\}$. It follows that the exact sequence (2) yields the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(K, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(F, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(L, N) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4}$$

Let $\pi\colon L \to N = L/\mathfrak{M}L$ be the canonical graded A-epimorphism. Then by the exactness of (4), there is an $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(F, N)$ mapping to π, namely the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \arrow[r, "\ell"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & F \arrow[dl, "\alpha"] \\
N \arrow[d] & \\
0 &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

is commutative. Note that ℓ and π are graded A-homomorphism. By using the cancelation law on Γ, one may check that ([NVO], Lemma I.2.1) works with respect to the diagram (5), i.e., there exists a graded A-homomorphism $F \xrightarrow{\beta} N$ such that $\beta\ell = \pi$ (see also the proof of Proposition 1.2 in Section 1). Thus, by the gr-freeness of F, there is a graded A-homomorphism $F \xrightarrow{\vartheta} L$ such that $\pi\vartheta = \beta$. It follows from $\pi\vartheta\ell = \beta\ell = \pi$ that $\pi(\vartheta\ell - 1_L) = 0$. Put $\rho = \vartheta\ell - 1_L$. Then $\pi\rho = 0$ implies $\mathrm{Im}\rho \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\pi = \mathfrak{M}L$. Moreover, since $x = (1_L + \rho)(x) - \rho(x)$ for all $x \in L$, we have $L = \mathrm{Im}(1_L + \rho) + \mathfrak{M}L$. So, by Lemma 4.2, $L = \mathrm{Im}(1_L + \rho)$. This shows that $1_L + \rho = \vartheta\ell$ is surjective. Noticing that L is a left gr-Noetherian A-module, we may show, actually as in the ungraded case, that the graded A-homomorphism $L \xrightarrow{\vartheta\ell} L$ is an isomorphism. Hence, the sequence (2) splits, i.e., there is an A-homomorphism $F \xrightarrow{\tau} L$ such that $\tau\ell = 1_L$. This yields the isomorphism $F \cong L \oplus K$, showing that K is a projective A-module. Turning back to the exact sequence (1), we conclude that $\mathrm{p.dim}_A M \le n$, as claimed. □

The next theorem generalizes ([Li1], Theorem 3.1(2)) which deals with an $\mathbb{N}$-*graded* left Noetherian ring with A_0 a local ring in the classical sense.

6.3. Theorem Let A be as in Theorem 6.2, and $D = A/\mathfrak{M}$. Assume further that Γ is well-ordered by a well-ordering $\prec$ such that the neutral element e is the smallest element in Γ. Then

$$\mathrm{l.gl.dim}A = \ \mathrm{p.dim}_A D = \ \mathrm{inj.dim}_A D,$$

where l.gl.dim$A$ is referred to as the left global dimension of A.

Proof Consider the Γ-grading filtration $\mathcal{F}A = \{\mathcal{F}_\gamma A\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ of $A = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ defined by letting $\mathcal{F}_\gamma A = \oplus_{\gamma' \preceq \gamma} A_{\gamma'}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then A is turned into a Γ-filtered ring in the sense that

$1 \in \mathcal{F}_e A$, $A = \cup_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\mathcal{F}_\gamma A$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\gamma_1}A\mathcal{F}_{\gamma_2}A \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}A$ for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$. Considering the associated Γ-graded ring of A with respect to $\mathcal{F}A$, which is by definition the Γ-graded ring $G(A) = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}G(A)_\gamma$ with $G(A)_\gamma = \mathcal{F}_\gamma A/\mathcal{F}_{\prec\gamma}A$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\prec\gamma}A = \cup_{\gamma'\prec\gamma}\mathcal{F}_{\gamma'}A$, it is clear that $A \cong G(A)$ as Γ-graded rings. Hence, it follows from ([Li2], Corollary 4.12) that l.gl.dim$A \le$ gr.lgl.dim$A$. But it is clear that gr.l.gl.dim$A \le$ l.gl.dimn$A$. Therefore, Theorem 6.2 entails the desired equality l.gl.dim$A =$ p.dim$_AD =$ inj.dim$_AD$.

References

[AF] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[BH] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 39. Cambridge University Press, revised edition, 1998.

[Eis] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward to Algebraic Geometry*, GTM 150, Springer, New York, 1995.

[GW] S. Goto and K. Watanabe, On graded rings I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 30(1978), 179–213.

[Lam] T. Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, Graduate texts in Mathematics 131, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[Lan] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag, 2002 (corrected in 2005).

[Li1] Huishi Li, Global dimension of graded local rings, *Comm. Alg.*, 24(7)(1996), 2399–2405.

[Li2] Huishi Li, Γ-Leading homogeneous algebras and Gröbner bases, in: *Recent Developments in Algebra and Related Areas* (F. Li and C. Dong eds.), Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vol. 8, International Press & Higher Education Press, Boston-Beijing, 2009, 155 – 200. arXiv:math.RA/0609583

[LVO1] Huishi Li and F. Van Oystaeyen, Global dimension and Auslander regularity of Rees rings, *Bull. Math. Soc. Belgique*, (serie A), Tom XLIII, 1991, 59–87.

[LVO2] Huishi Li and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Zariskian Filtrations*, K-monographs in Mathematics, Vol. 2, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.

[MR] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, J. Wiley, 1987.

[NVO] C. Năstăsescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded Ring Theory*, Math. Library 28, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

[Rot] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, 1979.